

July 3, 2024 REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Harter will call the meeting to order with the Pledge to the Flag at 7:30 p.m.

Board Members Present: Mayor Harter, Trustee Fuller, Trustee Werner,
Trustee Roach

Board Members Absent: none

Approximate Number in Audience: 17

II. MINUTES

Motion made by *Trustee Olejniczak* seconded by *Trustee Roach* to approve the minutes of the June 5, 2024 Meeting.

VOTE: YES 5 NO 0

Motion made by *Trustee Werner* seconded by *Trustee Olejniczak* to approve the minutes of the June 12, 2024 Special Meeting.

VOTE: YES 5 NO 0

III. FINANCIAL REPORTS

1. Treasurer's Report

Motion made by *Trustee Fuller* seconded by *Trustee Roach* to accept the Treasurer's report as submitted.

VOTE: YES 5 NO 0

2. Payment of Vouchers

Motion made by *Trustee Roach* seconded by *Trustee Werner* to approve payment of the vouchers as audited.

VOTE: YES 5 NO 0

3. Transfers

**Transfers for Fiscal Year 5/31/24 -
July 3**

General Fund Expenditures

<u>Account Description</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Justice Clerk Salaries	300.00	
Justice Salaries (Judge Hunter Payment)		300.00
Judicial Equipment and Supplies	300.00	
Judicial Contract Services (Attorney Fees)		300.00
	1,500.0	
Treasurer Audit Expenditures	0	
Treasurer Computer Equipment and Services (New Computer)		1,500.0 0
Clerk Printing and Supplies	100.00	
Clerk Computer Equipment and Services (Quill Various)		100.00
Clerk Postage	200.00	
Clerk Advertising (Public Notices)		200.00
	2,500.0	
General Fund Contingency	0	

Engineer Contract Services (Hoffman Engineering)	2,500.0 0
Office Cleaning Contract Services	500.00
Office Building Maintenance (New AC Unit)	500.00
Garage Heat and Electric	500.00
Garage Telephone and Internet (Momentum, Alteva, Verizon)	500.00
Police Salaries	2,500.0 0
Police Car Expenditures (Various)	2,500.0 0
Police Station Maintenance	100.00
Police Drinking Water (WB Mason)	100.00
Chip Project Expenditures	2,500.0 0
Highway Road Repairs (Stump Grinder and Drain Pipe)	2,500.0 0
Snow Removal Salaries	3,500.0 0
Snow Removal Materials and Supplies (Road Grit)	3,500.0 0

Mapes and Seward Property Maintenance	25.00	
Mapes and Seward Property Utilities (O&R)		25.00
	18,000. 00	
General Fund Contingency		18,000. 00
Sanitation Landfill Charges (IWS and Orange County Landfill)		

Water Fund Expenditures

<u>Account Description</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
	1,200.0 0	
Office Equipment and Supplies		1,200.0 0
Office Computer Equipment and Supplies (TCG Solutions)		
	1,000.0 0	
Capital Plant Equipment		1,000.0 0
Plant Chemicals (Increase in Amount and Price)		
	5,000.0 0	
Plant Testing		5,000.0 0
Water Plant Professional Fees (Engineering P&D)		
	45,000. 00	
Plant Maintenance and Repairs		

Distribution Maintenance and Repairs (TAM and Schmidt's Wholesale)	45,000. 00
---	---------------

Distribution Equipment	3,000.0 0
------------------------	--------------

Distribution Supplies (Various)	3,000.0 0
------------------------------------	--------------

Sewer Fund Expenditures

Account Description

From

To

Sewer Line Salaries	9,500.0 0
---------------------	--------------

Plant Operator Contract Services (H2O Includes Additional Repairs)	9,500.0 0
---	--------------

Pump Station Repairs	9,000.0 0
----------------------	--------------

Sewer Plant Sludge Removal (TAM Sludge Removal)	9,000.0 0
--	--------------

Sewer Plant Professional Fees	3,500.0 0
-------------------------------	--------------

Sewer Plant Heat and Electric (O&R Higher Energy Costs)	3,500.0 0
--	--------------

Motion to approve transfers as presented by Trustee Fuller seconded by Trustee Roach

VOTE: YES 5 NO 0

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS, CORRESPONDENCE & PRESENTATIONS

1. Florida Public Library sent a request for certification to allow Florida Public Library to apply for a grant.
2. BBS Architects sent a letter of notification regarding a Capital Project at Golden Hill Elementary School.
3. US Compliance sent a discharge compliance report regarding Stauber Performance Ingredients Inc.
4. Slack Chemical Company Inc sent a price increase for Muriatic Acid.
5. Blustein Shapiro Frank & Barone sent a FOIL request.
6. Orange and Rockland sent a letter requesting road work schedules in the Village.
7. Orange and Rockland sent information on Smart Charge EV station.
8. The Town of Warwick sent a letter regarding the Senior BBQ on Tuesday August 27, 2024 at Warwick Town Park from 11am-3pm.
9. Orange and Rockland sent a letter regarding Carbon Dioxide detectors.
10. MJ Engineering sent a letter regarding the proposed work at Pumpkin Swamp Road beginning 2025.
11. Orange County Department of Human Resources sent a letter regarding layoff protections.
12. A return-to-work letter was received for Officer Brian Turner.
13. Village of Florida Planning Board submitted a SEQR notice of intent for 120-1-2,3,4.
14. A road closing notice was received for Union Corners Road from Orange County Department of Public Works.
15. MVP sent a notice of proposed premium increase of 11.9 %.
16. A quote was received from Warwick Press for the Police Department violation notices.
17. A quote from Federal Eastern International was received for new vests for the Police Department.
18. US Armor sent a quote for vests for the Police Department.
19. Town of Chester submitted a resolution regarding Exit 127 on Route 17/86.
20. NYS Department of Homeland Security sent a letter regarding FEMA 4615 being closed out.
21. Florida Family Funfest submitted final plans for Sunday August 11, 2024.

V. REPORTS OF THE TRUSTEES

1. Thomas Fuller – Police, Justice, Emergency Management, Senior Affairs
2. Craig Olejniczak – Recreation, Parks, Animal Control, DPW
3. Alyssa Werner– Sewer/ Water, Chamber of Commerce, Glenmere Water Shed
4. Matthew Roach – Building & Planning, Historical, Buildings/Grounds

V. PUBLIC COMMENT – AGENDA ITEMS ONLY

Sue Obrien Farries Ave- Correspondence number 5 Blustein Shapiro Frank & Barone sent a FOIL request what was that regarding?

Mayor Harter property lines on Glenmere Avenue. Correct? To Clerk Wierzbicki
Clerk Wierzbicki yes

SO-my second one is, on the Agenda Town of Chester submitted a resolution
regarding Exit 127 Route 17/86, what's that about?

Attorney Cassidy I can comment on that. The Town of Chester adopted a resolution
requesting that the DOT in connection with the improvements of Route 17 which is
being converted to Route 86, there was a discussion that Exit 127 was going to close,
they were requesting leaving it open. They were simply providing a courtesy copy to
all the municipalities.

SO-Is there a time limit on that?

EC not that I am aware of but there are long range plans for Route 17 to be
converted to Route 86

SO Okay, thank you.

VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Maple Ave SEQRA Negative Declaration

Engineer Hoffman The Orange County Dept of Public Works has no opposition
of the Village serving as lead agency so at this time I would ask for a motion for
the Village to Serve as lead agency

Motion to assume lead agency by Trustee Fuller second by Trustee
Olejniczak

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

1a.Motion to adopt a negative declaration that this has no significant
environmental impact

Motion to assume lead agency by Trustee Werner second by Trustee
Olejniczak

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

1b. Motion to authorize application fee payment for the permit in the
amount of 750.00 plus 3000.00 performance deposit

Motion to assume lead agency by Trustee Werner second by Trustee Olejniczak

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

2. FunFest Revised Plan

Motion to approve the plan submitted by Nicole Resty Phillips for Sunday August 11, 2024.

Motion by Trustee Roach Second by Trustee Werner

VOTE Yes 4 No 0 Tom Fuller abstained

3. Statement on Farries Avenue

STATEMENT ON FARRIES AVENUE

On July 2, 2024, the Hon. Timothy McElduff, A.J.S.C. issued an order directing the Village of Florida to restore the emergency only access at Farries Avenue and Kaye Drive. After consultation with Florida Police Department Chief Coleman, the Village has started the process of notifying our emergency response partners and affected residents in addition to coordinating the installation of appropriate signs and barricades to ensure the public’s safety. We anticipate that this process will take approximately 2 weeks to complete. Residents must find alternate routes effective immediately.

Although the Village is disappointed with this outcome, we respect and acknowledge the ruling by the Court. In light of the Court’s determination, the Village Board has authorized its consultants to undertake the necessary steps for the formal modification of Farries and Kaye Drive so that it can be reopened for the safety and well-being of all Florida residents.

The Village Board is pleased that the Court dismissed all remaining claims against the Village and its officials.

Mayor Harter based on this statement can I get a motion on the floor to move forward re-opening the road once it’s done in the formal process.

Attorney Cassidy just to be clear, authorizing a consultant

Motion by Trustee Roach second by Trustee Fuller

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

VII. NEW BUSINESS

1. FunFest Donation

Motion to approve the donation of the \$4999.00 Orange County Tourism Grant to Florida FunFest for the 25th anniversary celebration.

Motion by Trustee Werner second by Trustee Olejniczak

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

2. Florida Public Library

WHEREAS, the Florida Public Library Board of Trustees is preparing to file an application for a grant through NYS Public Library Grant Program and WHEREAS a requirement of the application is a letter from the Municipality certifying that the Village will allow the Library to inhabit the current building for at least 10 years from the date of the proposed project completion, and WHEREAS, the funds will be utilized to further cover costs associated with the front entrance railing rehabilitation and upgrading of the telephone system. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Trustees of the Village of Florida affirm that the Florida Public Library may inhabit the current building location at 4 Cohen Circle for a 10-year period from the proposed completion date.

**Motion by Trustee Olejniczak
Second by Trustee Werner**

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

3. Police Department Bullet Proof Vests NYS Contract purchase of seven

**Federal Eastern
1523 Chafee Road
Jacksonville, FL**

**US Armor
10715 Bloomfield Ave
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670**

\$1208.31/each (8458.17) \$720.82/each (5045.74)

Motion to approve US Armor in the amount of \$5045.70

Motion by Trustee Fuller second by Trustee Roach

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

4. ZIRCAR 50th Anniversary

Know All By These Present:

Whereas, it is the intention of the Village Board of the Village of Florida to recognize those, who by their actions, have brought distinction, honor and glory to the community of Florida, New York; and

Whereas, when such a contribution has been made it is the policy of the Village Board of the Village of Florida, by special resolution, to publicly and historically make known these significant acts; and

Whereas, ZIRCAR Composites has now served the Village of Florida and the surrounding community for fifty years; and

Whereas, the members of this organization employ many residents of the Village and surrounding areas; and

Whereas, the members are community minded and support the Village and community events;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Trustees of the Village of Florida recognizes and celebrates ZIRCAR Composites for the dedication to the Village of Florida and its employees in the Village to commemorate their fiftieth anniversary;

Be It Further Resolved that a suitable copy of this proclamation be prepared and presented to the Hamling Family that this proclamation is entered upon the official minute book of the Village of Florida for all people to see, for all time to come.

By Order of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Florida,

County of Orange, State of New York

Motion by Trustee Fuller

Second by Trustee Olejniczak

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

IX. Meeting Schedule

July 17 th , 2024	9:30 AM Work Session
August 21 st , 2024	9:30 AM Work Session/7:30PM General Meeting
September 4 th , 2024	9:30 AM Work Session/7:30PM General Meeting
September 18 th , 2024	9:30 AM Work Session

X. PUBLIC COMMENT

Patty Rotella Jayne St-I know it is the Town of Warwick's responsibility Jayne St bridge, I know you are awaiting a grant. Have you heard? I want my road open.
Mayor Harter Nothing yet.

Andrew Burroughs Doris Court-the subdivision of the subject of the judgement that we were discussing. I have a two-fold question. First, I make an observation of the issue here. Not only is it one of convenience, but safety to the approximately 200 residents that live up there. We have only one access out of the subdivision onto Randall Ave and just 4 weeks ago we were blocked from leaving due to construction or activity that was going on. Fortunately, we had Farries Ave by which to exit. So, I'm quite concerned that a subdivision that faces the forest and other things a water tower that we only have one access. My question is this, the judgement states, it is undisputed that neither the Village or any other municipal board undertook any action or procedure to remove the chain or the emergency access restriction of record. My question is this, is the Board now certain what is the correct procedure to ensure that the chain can be removed and access granted and two how long will it take before the subdivision residents have the surety that they'll have access to the road Farries Ave. Thank you.

Mayor Harter Counselor what can we say(towards Attorney Cassidy)

Attorney Cassidy we are engaged in that process. We expect to do something at our August meeting. Based on the timing of NYS state law that we would introduce August and set a Public Hearing at the September meeting and attempt to take action at the September or October meeting. Can I guarantee that time frame? No. Based on my research we have a previously engaged traffic consultants to evaluate concerns when this may happen. We are awaiting those results; we anticipate those shortly. Then move forward.

Mayor Harter Anyone else?

Sue O'Brien Farries Ave-I have been in a conversation with a couple of people from (unknown) Office regarding getting a traffic light on Farries and Main St please call.

Both gentlemen told me and then a lady I don't remember their name said that it was being looked at by New York State DOT.

Mayor Harter Correct

SO and it's directly involved with when Route 94 is closed and people will be up in Beazer and everything, where is the traffic light standing? Quite frankly, schools are closed, 2:30 this afternoon it took me a full three minutes to make a left turn. What is the Village doing?

Attorney Cassidy As part of the traffic study, we engaged in part of that for reasons is a large analysis for the traffic light. Unfortunately, we do not have control of that intersection, it's a NYSDOT intersection. So, we have engaged a traffic consultant to evaluate that. Depending on the findings of that stud, if the traffic light is warranted, we will receive recommendations. We refer that to DOT and we have brought in Senator Skoufis as well as other public because it has been a concern, not just for Farries Ave traffic but for other traffic. We are aware and have conducted or finishing up as we speak a traffic run analysis.

SO well, that's good news, sooner rather than later

EC Unfortunately we can't approve that, it's not within our control to install the traffic light. NYS would have to say that the traffic condition warrants or meets the threshold of a traffic light coming off of there. Once they do that, we have to figure out how it is going to get constructed and who is going to pay for it. My understanding is that the traffic lights in the nature that you are talking about are about \$400,000.00

SO it is getting out of hand

EC we have done what we can do on our end to start using that process

SO I appreciate that and every six to eight weeks I call those people ask what's going on.

The other thing is, the internet situation in the Village of Florida I need someone to be the voice of the Village. We only have one internet service. Is that a monopoly or just me?

Mayor Harter I found that depending on what business it is, a monopoly seems to be a very grey area

EC it's a market condition right now, we have granted a franchise agreement, we can grant more. No one has come to the Village requesting one.

There was a brief discussion regarding internet service in the Village

Heather Ubides Farries Ave Just a couple questions, the first thing I noticed on page 6, there was no agenda item 3 relating to Farries Ave, was that a typo or was that just so residents couldn't propose that Agenda item?

Mayor Harter it was added, it will be in the minutes of the next meeting

HU If I could just request for the future if there is a topic for the Agenda item that is going to result in a vote, I think that it would be best that we get to speak and ask questions prior to the vote taking place that's decided at 9:30 in the morning at a work session. Second, Ms. Cassidy you reference that traffic light reports \$400,000.00, seemingly to the common citizen that that would seem like an easy thing, not such an expense. So, for the proposal in three that I can't reference because it's not there, what is the estimated cost to the Village to therefore open the road? Legally, this was our initial request from the beginning, correct?

MH I don't know off the top of my head

HU I would imagine from what I have learned in the SEQR process and as we have just said sidewalks would be a determination regarding the roads not matching up that would need to be rectified. If a traffic light is \$400,000.00, I do know the numbers, I have them. I have done the research of what it would require to make that a safe road for all residents. I am sympathetic to residents of Hill View I just wanted that to go on record. The whole issue is that it wasn't done properly and that was our request from the beginning. Maybe for the next meeting, before it goes to any site for the Public Hearing, give some time, a month and a half to prepare some of those requests to make an educated decision Mayor Harter we will do the best we can

HU That would be great, greatly appreciate it. Happy Fourth of July.

Aaron Ubides Farries Ave In trying to go through the legal language I'm fine with. My request is what they were saying before you do it, are you going to amend those and take care of my flooding because the issue is now that if you open that road those two grates are not code. There's a berm there that deflects the water so it doesn't get flooded. You're obviously going to want to take that out. You have to cut the road down. I would like to know, what are your plans before you do it because it will adversely affect, a lot of water damage and again how much are we going to spend, how many months are we talking about to rectify this? I am sympathetic behind you, I understand. One misconception that has never been made aware of; it was always an emergency access. If the road was closed, it was always easy to open it, the police would come and open it, the fire department it took a minute. They were never locked in there. Those are my question, or are we going to just try to push this through and vote and not let us all know. We are going to take six weeks to dig this, it is going to cost \$200,000.00 or just going to bandage it. Flood me out which will cause a lot of problems for everyone here. Those are my questions, what are your answers to that?

Mayor Harter we will get back to you on that.

AU are you going to get back to me before the meeting, the vote or are you going to have a plan for everybody?

MH I will discuss with legal counsel

AU and the Engineer I am sure

Robert Scott Planning Board I just wanted to say that last week the American Legion post 1250 and all local Veterans were invited to a picnic at Glenmere Park. It was hosted by the Cub Scouts we were fed and treated like kings. I thought that everybody, would be nice to know that. It was a tremendous evening. We really do appreciate it. Thank you. Wonderful use of the park.

Janet Turner Farries Ave I have some questions. I am not going to keep talking. This resolution for Farries, it wasn't discussed at the work session. Is this part of the Executive Session? Trying to understand the whole procedure, the legal procedure. It wasn't in the Agenda and it wasn't in today's work session which I attended. So, if it wasn't discussed at today's work session was it just because of Executive Session or whatever? Just kind of don't get the whole thing. When you talked about it tonight, I didn't have anything to refer to. It just kind of happened. Can you explain that?

Attorney Cassidy I can answer that question. When the Village Board or any municipality holds discussions about ongoing litigation, we can have those discussions in Executive

Session. During that Executive session, the Board instructed me to prepare a statement, that statement was prepared this afternoon after the meeting which is why it is not on the Agenda. Colleen already had printed the Agenda this morning. In light of the timing plan course order. We thought it was best to move quickly and make a statement today. In order to restore the chain. In light of the fact that the chain has been down for seventeen months, simply restoring the chain without any concerns after consulting with the Chief of Police that someone went running through it not expecting the chain to be up. We want to make sure that we advocate with the Fire Department as well as selected residents before we were to put that chain up. We are doing that immediately with of course order.

JT What I am trying to understand is you're going to leave the chain up or take the chain down. I'm so sorry

EC we have been ordered by the court to restore the chain. The Board has through action today, directed its consultants to prepare materials to start the process to remove the chain and open that as a thoroughfare. We will go through the legal process to do that. After which will be Public Notice. We have not taken any formal action with the exception of asking our consultants to move forward.

JT will you be informing your residents each step of the way? That's normally

EC if you are looking for Public Notice, Public Notice is normally published on our website. Colleen also publishes on Facebook and in the paper of record, the Warwick Advertiser.

JT what will that approximately cost? To do what you are proposing?

EC we don't know yet, that's why they instructed us to start the groundwork process

JT when you say they, who are you referring to?

EC the Board. Other consultants have to start their process so we can get them the estimates and prepare them to do that.

JT what is the justification for opening the road?

EC so our subdivision code requires two points of access for subdivisions of a certain size, this subdivision is not to code

JT when it was built originally?

EC when it was designed originally, another access was designed. That access was never constructed and it is not likely to be constructed.

JT can I ask you where that would have been?

EC that would come out on Robert, it would have connected to Robert and then down

JT So that isn't an emergency, the chain isn't just for emergencies its removal? I'm trying to understand this whole thing, is it only for emergencies?

EC the original design was before the Planning Board, it was to be an emergency access, that was based on the premise that there would have been two other accesses. One of which was not constructed so now Glenview Hills is only left with one both need access.

JT as far as the money that is involved in this when approximately will we find out?

EC that will be part of the process again the Board has just instructed its consultants to lay the groundwork. This is part of the public process.

JT who are the consultants

EC myself, our traffic Engineer Kimley Horne as well as our Village Engineer Sean Hoffman

JT That's all I have thank you.

Sue Obrien I have a question regarding Farries, with regard to all this craziness, do you have a number for what it costs in legal fees?

Mayor they were FOILED so I am sure they will be in the paper

SO so, you don't have a number right now?

MH I do I don't know it off the top of my head.

EC I can tell you. I can't speak for the other side, my number is \$19,000.00

SO I just want to know how much I am paying, thank you for the entitlement

Krystal O'Neal Hill View Dr To my understanding, there was supposed to be two accesses.

The development is of a size that requires because the other one wasn't built

Andrew Burroughs has the Board considered asking the judge to stay the judgement

considering this will be resolved sometime from the Fall and given the situation with

residents there having only one access when they legally should have two accesses

particularly for emergencies not with standing the chain can be moved obviously. I can't

remove the chain and neither can the other 200 residents remove the chain. They are

stuck. So, has the Board considered asking for a STAY of the judge's order?

Mayor Harter that would be under the legal

Patty Rotella These people on Farries, they want that road open or don't want the road open?

SO I don't care, I just want it done legally.

PR you said that a certain amount of residents should have two accesses? Getting back to my road certain want it closed, I want it open. I want two accesses.

MH you have to talk to the Town of Warwick

PR I guess we don't have enough residents on our street to merit it being open

EC your street was not prepared as a subdivision this is a subdivision

PR it is good for emergencies

SO I have a question with regard to the builder. When the folks bought their homes and everyone was driving and that other access was on the books, when the builder went belly up and we all know what happened and it took a while for the Village to get their bond I don't know there was bond money involved according to FOIL. Has the Village taken any consideration that they did not do that other access.

EC the other access was not related to this recent developer. The reason it is not developed is other part of the development which was never developed.

SO who knew about this

EC the village is fully aware.it was conditional on connecting to Chelmsford Hills I believe. That subdivision has been abandoned.

SO So, the Village released the bond?

EC no we still have bond money for the rest of the unapproved portion of the Beazer subdivision. Even though there are large portions undeveloped, the Village still has a maintenance and performance bond held in accordance with the original approval. The problem is like I said the adjoining, it connects to the adjoining subdivision. Both developers were to build up to the property line, roads would match up. The other subdivision has been withdrawn. That subdivision will never get built.

Janet Turner if you build this access road, will you build sidewalks?

Unknown comments

Trustee Werner There is a sidewalk through the intersection and there is a sidewalk across the street. There's a small section of road without a sidewalk

There was a brief discussion regarding sidewalks and the safety of the road.

Heather Ubides the development that was supposed to be built; it's never going to happen it's landlocked?

EC No they abandoned it. The approvals have expired. The applicant has abandoned the approvals. In order for that to become a viable approval, they would have to reapply and start the process over. I can't speak to what they would change but they didn't maintain their approval sometimes there's a subdivision and they come in every six months. They didn't do that, they walked away several years ago, before my time.

Tom Fuller 14 lot subdivision which would be off of Beazer and come down Robert Dr. HU I read all the original Planning Board notes on it I just didn't know if I was missing something or if the builders bought all that other property

EC no it's still developable property just the rules of development have changed they may have to change the design but again they haven't been before us in quite some time. My understanding is that this site is difficult, it gets hilly and steep and a bit rocky not the easiest to develop. Right now, there is nothing and no approval so they can't attempt construction. landlocked. As of right now there is nothing no approval

HU never I don't think it will happen

EC never may be the wrong word choice; correct word choice is absent from the Planning Board actually. That site cannot be developed, the rest of Beazer could be developed.

EC absent from the Planning Board that subdivision cannot be developed, the rest of Beazer Homes could be developed. The access is designed to count the bonding

HU the bond money from Beazer covers any maintenance?

EC the bond reflects public improvement, roadways etc. So, if a developer comes in, they don't build the streets or a lot of times builders will come in and start the roads, the houses but won't finish the streets. Then they go bankrupt, belly up. The bond allows The Village to come in and finish the street. For the undeveloped portions, we still have our full bond. For new portions that have been developed and dedicated, we have released those bonds Big Oaks, Hill View, Kaye and a couple others. Anything that anyone has dedicated to the Village.

Mayor Harter requested a motion to adjourn.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Trustee Fuller second by Trustee Roach to adjourn at 8:30 PM

VOTE Yes 5 No 0

**Respectfully,
Colleen Wierzbicki**